H.A

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/606,118	EMIL ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hargobind S. Sawhney	2875
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. X This communication is responsive to the amendment filed on 1/11/05.		
2.  The allowed claim(s) is/are 10,11,17,19 and 21-33.		
3. The drawings filed on <u>25 June 2003</u> are accepted by the Examiner.		
4.		
Attachment(s)  1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6.  ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat	te
3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 1/11/05  4. ☐ Exeminaria Comment Reporting Requirement for Deposit	_	ment/Comment ent of Reasons for Allowance
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	9. ☐ Other	on teasons for Allowance
•		

Application/Control Number: 10/606,118 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The amendment filed on January 11, 2005 has been entered. Accordingly:
  - Claims 1-9, 12-16, 18 and 20 have been cancelled;
  - Claims 10, 17 and 19 have been amended; and
  - New claims 21-33 have been added.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 10, 11, 17, 19 and 21-33 are allowed.

The prior art of record, including, Luen-Wai Yu (UK Patent No.: 810,256) and Koch et al. (US Patent No.: 6,585,391 B1), does not show or suggest the applicant's invention as claimed. Specifically, the prior art of record does not teach an illuminating device combining:

- a parabolic reflector connected to a printed circuit board, and having the parabolic reflector having its focus coinciding with the tip of an LED mounted on the printed circuit board as recited in claims 10, 23, 27 and 30;
- the LED including a parabolic micro-reflector, and the LED having its light emitting element positioned at the focal point of the convergent portion of a lens as recited in claims 10, 23, 27 and 30;

Application/Control Number: 10/606,118

Art Unit: 2875

The above-indicated combination, including the <u>parabolic reflector having its</u>

focus point coinciding with the transparent tip of the LED, and the light-emitting element

of the LED positioned at the focal point of the convergent portion of a lens, makes this
invention unique. The above detailed relative positioning of the reflector, LED and lens
would optically support production of a light flux of the claimed characteristic.

Luen-Wai Yu (UK Patent No.: 810,256) in view of Koch et al. (US Patent No.: 6,585,391 B1) discloses an illuminator including a lens with convergent and non-convergent portions, an LED and a parabolic reflector. However, neither combined nor individual teaching of Luen-Wai Yu (UK Patent No.: 810,256) and Koch et al. (US Patent No.: 6,585,391 B1) teaches a combination: (1) an LED with a parabolic micro-reflector; (2) an additional parabolic reflector surrounding the LED; (3) a lens including a convergent and non-convergent portions; and (4) the claimed relative positioning of the LED, reflector and the lens as detailed above.

Thus, neither combined nor individual teaching of Luen-Wai Yu (UK Patent No.: 810,256) and Koch et al. (US Patent No.: 6,585,391 B1) meets the limitations of Claims 10, 23, 27 and 30. Therefore, 10, 23, 27 and 30 are allowed over the prior art.

Claims 11, 17, 19, 21 and 22 are necessarily allowed because of their dependency on the allowed base Claim 10.

Claims 24-26 are necessarily allowed because of their dependency on the allowed base Claim 23.

Claims 28 and 29 are necessarily allowed because of their dependency on the allowed base Claim 27.

Claims 31-33 are necessarily allowed because of their dependency on the allowed base Claim 30.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chang (U.S. Patent No. 5,534,718) and Shrimali et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,920,404)

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hargobind S Sawhney whose telephone number is 571 272 2380. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:15 - 2:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571 272 2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2875

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HSS 3/23/2005

Stephen Husar Primary Examiner